

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,605	NICPONSKI, HENRY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** allowed

 (1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) ROBERT LUKE WALKER.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 November 2005
**Time:** 09:30 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*26 and 32*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed issues pertinent to independent claims 26 and 32. Applicant's Attorney (Robert Walker) agreed to amend the claims so as to more narrowly define the claims.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**DANIEL MIRIAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)